

8. Nomination Form 2

National Award for Productivity Technical Experts

| | | Nominee Profile |
|--|---|--|
| Name | | |
| Designation | | |
| Organization name | | |
| Nature of | | |
| organization | | |
| Address | | |
| Contact details | Tel.: | Email: |
| | | Description of Nominee |
| any documents to s his/her endeavors. | now that nominee he | as been nominated for and/or won awards or been acknowledged for |
| orinciples to resolu | y of the nominee to ve them. List specific | understand and define productivity problems and apply technical cexamples of new methodologies, tools, and techniques that the vity problems, including the quality and functionality of such solutions. |
| | | |



Describe the quantitative and qualitative results from applying the productivity methodologies, tools, and techniques developed by the nominee, including the consistency of the results over a prolonged period and whether these solutions have been widely disseminated and applied in different sectors or countries, including any papers or publications on them. Additional Points (if any) Declaration Nominator's name Organization Address Email: Contact details Tel.: I declare the information on the nomination form and accompanying documents (if any) submitted to be true to the best of my knowledge. I am not related to the nominee in a personal capacity. Signature of Nominator Date



10. Award Assessment Scorecard 2

Assessment Scorecard for Productivity Technical Experts

| Nominee | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Information on panelist | Name: Organization: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Panelist's | Signature: | Date: | GET JE JUSTINE | |
| endorsement | | | | | |
| | Scoreca | d i | Palacine Ca | | |
| - a- m-w-same seem | Assessment Parameter | | Score/Comments | | |
| 1. Role & Contrib | utions (max. 30 points) | | | | |
| 1.1 Plays a critical or industry level | role in productivity enhancem | ent at organizational | The District of | | |
| Comments | | THE THE STREET | Score | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | nee's involvement in conceptud | | | | |
| | ductivity initiatives is substanti | al and leads to | | | |
| organizational suc | ccess | | | | |
| Comments | | | Score | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1 3 Has been nom | ingted for/received recognition | | | | |
| | inated for/received recognition utions to productivity | or relevant awards | | | |
| Comments | ations to productivity | | Coore | | |
| Comments | | | Score | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2. Technical Comp | petence (max. 40 points) | | | | |
| | tand and clearly define product | ivity improvement | | | |
| | sses to resolve them | | | | |
| Comments | | | Score | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2.2 Proficiency in a | applying technical principles to | solve productivity | | | |
| problems effective | | | | | |
| Comments | | | Score | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2.3 Created or ada | pted relevant productivity meti | nodologies, tools, and | | | |
| | in real-life situations | | | | |
| Comments | Score | | | | |



| APD | |
|--|-------|
| | |
| 2.4 Tested the quality and functionality of methodologies, tools, and techniques to address productivity problems | |
| Comments | |
| | Score |
| | |
| 3. Results & Impacts (max. 30 points) | |
| 3.1 Achieved quantitative and qualitative results from applying methodologies, tools, and techniques | |
| Comments | |
| | Score |
| 3.2 Demonstrated the consistence in | |
| 3.2 Demonstrated the consistency of results over a prolonged period Comments | |
| | Score |
| | |
| 3.3 Methodologies, tools, and techniques are being disseminated and applied in multiple sectors and/or other countries | |
| Comments | |
| | Score |
| | |
| Total Score | |